

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/697,329	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HAMAGUCHI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Arnold M. Kinead	<b>Art Unit</b> 2817	

**All Participants:**

(1) Arnold M. Kinead.

(2) Ms. Carolyn T. Baumgardner.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 13 October 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1,2,3 and 16

Prior art documents discussed:

Prior art of record and 5,994,972


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Claims 1 and 16 have been amended to further characterize the differential limiter construct

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)